

# A study on competition numbers of graphs in the aspect of primary predator index <sup>\*</sup>

Jihoon Choi <sup>†1</sup>, Soogang Eoh <sup>‡1</sup>, and Suh-Ryung Kim <sup>§1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics Education, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea*

February 16, 2016

## Abstract

The competition graph of a digraph  $D$  is defined as a graph which has the same vertex set as  $D$  and has an edge  $xy$  between two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  if and only if, for some vertex  $z \in V(D)$ , the arcs  $(x, z)$  and  $(y, z)$  are in  $D$ . The competition number of a graph  $G$  is defined to be the smallest number  $k$  such that  $G$  together with  $k$  isolated vertices is the competition graph of an acyclic digraph.

In this paper, we define the primary predator index  $p$  of a graph  $G$  with competition number  $k$  as the largest number  $p$  such that an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with  $k$  isolated vertices has  $p$  vertices of in-degree 0, and show that the competition number  $k(G)$  and the primary predator index  $p(G)$  of a graph  $G$  are related as  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$ . As a matter of fact, this generalizes the inequality  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + 2$  given by Opsut [7] as  $p(G) \geq 2$  for a graph with at least one edge. In the process, we introduce a notion of effective competition cover, which is possessed by a large family of graphs, and show that our inequality actually becomes an equality for a graph having an effective competition cover and the competition number of a certain graph having an effective competition cover may be obtained by utilizing its primary predator index. Especially, we present a precise relationship between the competition number and the primary predator index of a diamond-free plane graph.

---

<sup>\*</sup>This work forms part of the author's master's thesis at Seoul National University.

<sup>†</sup>This research was supported by Global Ph.D Fellowship Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2015H1A2A1033541).

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author: mathfish@snu.ac.kr

<sup>§</sup>This work was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) (No. NRF-2015R1A2A2A01006885).

**Keywords:** competition graph, competition number, edge clique cover number, effective competition cover, primary predator index, plane graph

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C20, 05C75

## 1 Introduction

The *competition graph* of a digraph  $D$ , denoted by  $C(D)$ , is defined as a graph which has the same vertex set as  $D$  and has an edge  $xy$  between two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  if and only if, for some vertex  $z \in V(D)$ , the arcs  $(x, z)$  and  $(y, z)$  are in  $D$ . The notion of competition graphs is due to Cohen [2] and arose from ecology. Competition graphs also have applications in areas such as coding, radio transmission, and modeling of complex economic systems. For a summary of these applications, the reader may refer to [9] and [12].

Roberts [10] observed that any graph  $G$  together with  $|E(G)|$  additional isolated vertices is the competition graph of an acyclic digraph. Then he defined the *competition number* of a graph  $G$  to be the smallest number  $k$  such that  $G$  together with  $k$  isolated vertices is the competition graph of an acyclic digraph, and denoted it by  $k(G)$ .

Computing the competition number of a graph is one of the important problems in the field of competition graphs. Yet, computing the competition number of a graph is usually not easy as Opsut has shown that computation of the competition number in general is NP-hard in 1982. While an upper bound  $M$  of the competition number of a graph  $G$  may be obtained by constructing an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with  $M$  isolated vertices, getting a good lower bound is a very difficult task because there are usually so many cases to consider.

There has been much effort to compute the competition numbers of graphs (for some results on competition numbers, see [1, 3–8, 11, 13]).

The edge clique cover number of a graph is closely related to its competition number. For a clique  $K$  and an edge  $e$  of a graph  $G$ , we say that  $K$  *covers*  $e$  (or  $e$  *is covered by*  $K$ ) if and only if  $K$  contains the two end points of  $e$ . An *edge clique cover* of a graph  $G$  is a collection of cliques that cover all the edges of  $G$ . The *edge clique cover number* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\theta_e(G)$ , is the smallest number of cliques in an edge clique cover of  $G$ . Opsut [7] showed that  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + 2$  for any graph  $G$ .

In this paper, we introduce the notion of the primary predator index of a graph.

**Definition 1.1.** Given a graph  $G$ , we consider the acyclic digraphs the competition graph of each of which is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices. Among these numbers of vertices of in-degree 0 in those digraphs, we call the maximum the *primary predator index* of  $G$  and denote it by  $p(G)$ .

For a graph  $G$ , the number of vertices with in-degree 0 in the acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  is less than or equal to  $|V(G)|$ . Thus  $p(G)$  is finite.

We show that the competition number  $k(G)$  and the primary predator index  $p(G)$  of a graph  $G$  are related as  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$ . As a matter of fact, this generalizes the inequality  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + 2$  given by Opsut [7] as  $p(G) \geq 2$  for a graph with at least one edge. By the way, Sano [13] gave a lower bound for the competition number, which generalizes the inequality given by Opsut in a viewpoint different from ours.

Our inequality becomes an equality and the competition number may be computed in terms of the primary predator index for the graphs having “effective competition covers” such as chordal graphs and diamond-free graphs with at least one edge.

Every graph in this paper is assumed to be simple unless otherwise stated.

## 2 Effective competition covers of graphs

In this section, we introduce the notion of effective competition covers of graphs.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a graph with at least one edge. A minimum edge clique cover  $\mathcal{C} := \{C_1, \dots, C_{\theta_e(G)}\}$  of  $G$  is called an *effective competition cover* of  $G$  if every clique in  $\mathcal{C}$  is maximal in  $G$  and there exists an acyclic digraph  $D$  and the set  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}\}$  of vertices with in-degrees nonzero satisfying the following properties:

- (i) The competition graph of  $D$  is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices.
- (ii) For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \theta_e(G)$ ,  $w_i$  is a common out-neighbor of all the vertices in  $C_i$ .

We say that  $D$  is a *digraph accompanying*  $\mathcal{C}$ . Given a digraph  $D$  accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ , we call  $w_i$  the *sink* of  $C_i$  in  $D$ .

The graph  $G$  given in Figure 1 has an effective competition cover. The competition number of  $G$  is one and the competition graph of  $D$  in Figure 1 is  $G \cup \{v_0\}$ . Now consider the family  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1 = \{v_9, v_8\}, C_2 = \{v_8, v_7\}, C_3 = \{v_7, v_6\}, C_4 = \{v_9, v_6, v_5, v_4\}, C_5 = \{v_5, v_4, v_3\}, C_6 = \{v_9, v_4, v_2, v_1\}\}$  of maximal cliques of  $G$ . It can easily be checked that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a minimum edge clique cover of  $G$ . Moreover, the  $i$ th term of  $(v_7, v_6, v_5, v_3, v_2, v_0)$  is a common out-neighbor of all the vertices in  $C_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 6$  and those terms are the only vertices of in-degree nonzero in  $D$ .

Since an effective competition cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of a graph is a minimum edge clique cover, the sinks of cliques belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$  in a digraph accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$  are all distinct.

A *perfect elimination ordering* of a graph  $G$  is an ordering  $[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$  of the vertices of  $G$  such that the neighborhood of  $v_i$  is a clique in  $G_i := G[v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n]$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . It is well-known that every chordal graph has a perfect elimination ordering.

It is also well known that a digraph  $D$  is acyclic if and only if there exists a bijection  $\ell : V(D) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |V(D)|\}$  such that whenever there is an arc from a vertex  $u$  to a vertex  $v$ ,  $\ell(u) > \ell(v)$ . We call such a function  $\ell$  an *acyclic labeling* of  $D$ .




Figure 1: A graph  $G$  having an effective competition cover and an acyclic digraph  $D$  accompanying the effective competition cover

Given a graph  $G$  and a minimum edge clique cover  $\mathcal{C}$ , we may expand each clique in  $\mathcal{C}$  to a maximal clique. Therefore any graph has a minimum edge clique cover consisting of maximal cliques.

Given a graph  $G$  and a vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $N_G[v]$  (resp.  $N_G(v)$ ) the closed neighborhood (resp. open neighborhood) of  $v$  in  $G$ .

Now we present some sufficient conditions under which a graph has an effective competition cover.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Every chordal graph with at least one edge has an effective competition cover.*

*Proof.* Take a chordal graph  $G$  with at least one edge. Since having an effective competition cover is not affected by whether or not a graph has isolated vertices, we may assume that  $G$  has no isolated vertices. Let  $[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$  be a perfect elimination ordering of  $G$ ,  $G_i = G[v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n]$ , and  $N_i = N_{G_i}[v_i]$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

In addition, let  $\theta = \theta_e(G)$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_\theta\}$  be a minimum edge clique cover of  $G$  consisting of maximal cliques.

Given a subset  $X = \{v_{n_1}, v_{n_2}, \dots, v_{n_j}\}$  of  $V(G)$  with  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_j$ , we may correspond the ordered  $j$ -tuple  $\mathbf{a}(X) := (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j)$ . We rearrange  $C_1, \dots, C_\theta$  so that  $\mathbf{a}(C_1) \prec \mathbf{a}(C_2) \prec \dots \prec \mathbf{a}(C_\theta)$  where  $\prec$  is the lexicographic order.

To show that  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of some elements in  $\{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_\theta\}$ , fix  $k \in \{1, \dots, \theta\}$  and let  $n_k = \min\{j \mid v_j \in C_k\}$ . By the choice of  $n_k$ ,

$$C_k \subset V(G_{n_k}). \quad (1)$$

Thus  $C_k$  is a clique in  $G_{n_k}$  containing  $v_{n_k}$ . By the definition of perfect elimination ordering,  $v_{n_k}$  is a simplicial vertex of  $G_{n_k}$  and so  $C_k \subset N_{n_k}$ . However, since  $C_k$  is a maximal clique

in  $G$ , it is also a maximal clique in  $G_{n_k}$ . Therefore  $C_k = N_{n_k}$  as  $N_{n_k}$  is a clique in  $G_{n_k}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C} = \{N_{n_1}, \dots, N_{n_\theta}\}$ .

Let  $D$  be a digraph with  $V(D) = V(G) \cup \{v_0\}$  and

$$A(D) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\theta} \{(v, v_{n_k-1}) \mid v \in N_{n_k}\}.$$

(Note that  $n_1 = 1$ . To see why, recall our assumption that  $G$  has no isolated vertices. Since  $C_1, \dots, C_\theta$  are arranged based upon the lexicographic order of  $\mathbf{a}(C_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}(C_\theta)$ ,  $v_1$  belongs to  $C_1$ .) Now the following are true:

$$\begin{aligned} v_i v_j \in E(G) &\Leftrightarrow v_i v_j \in N_{n_k} \text{ for some } k \in \{1, \dots, \theta\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (v_i, v_{n_k-1}) \in A(D) \text{ and } (v_j, v_{n_k-1}) \in A(D) \text{ for some } k \in \{1, \dots, \theta\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow v_i v_j \in E(C(D)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the competition graph of  $D$  is  $G$  together with the isolated vertex  $v_0$ . To show that  $D$  is acyclic, take  $(v_i, v_j) \in A(D)$ . Then  $j = n_k - 1$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, \theta\}$  and  $v_i \in N_{n_k}$ . Now, since  $N_{n_k} = C_k$ , by (1),  $N_{n_k} \subset V(G_{n_k}) = \{v_{n_k}, v_{v_k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ , which implies  $i \geq n_k$ . Thus  $i > j$  and so  $D$  is acyclic. By the definition of  $D$ ,  $v_{n_1-1}, \dots, v_{n_\theta-1}$  are the only vertices of in-degree nonzero in  $D$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies (ii) of Definition 2.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** In the proof given above, we have actually shown a stronger statement that every minimum edge clique cover of a chordal graph consisting of maximal cliques is an effective competition cover.

A *diamond* is a graph obtained from  $K_4$  by deleting an edge. A graph is called *diamond-free* if it does not contain a diamond as an induced subgraph. It is easy to see that a graph is diamond-free if and only if no two of its maximal cliques cover a common edge.

Given a maximal clique  $C$  of a graph  $G$ , an edge of  $G$  is said to be *occupied by  $C$*  if  $C$  is the only maximal clique that covers it.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose that a graph  $G$  with at least one edge satisfies the property that, for each maximal clique  $C$ , each vertex of  $C$  is an end vertex of an edge occupied by  $C$ . Then  $G$  has an effective competition cover.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all maximal cliques of  $G$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a minimum edge clique cover. Obviously  $\mathcal{C}$  is an edge clique cover of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a minimum edge clique cover of  $G$  consisting of maximal cliques. Then clearly  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ . By the hypothesis, every clique in  $\mathcal{C}$  has an edge occupied by it. Since an edge occupied by a maximal clique cannot be covered by any other maximal cliques,  $\mathcal{C}$  with an element omitted no longer covers the edges of  $G$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}$  and so  $\mathcal{C}$  is a minimum edge clique cover.

Let  $D$  be an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices. Let  $\ell$  be an acyclic labeling of  $D$ .

Take a maximal clique  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the end vertices of each edge occupied by  $C$  has a common out-neighbor in  $D$ . We consider such common out-neighbors and take one, say  $x_C$ , with the smallest  $\ell$ -value among them. We consider the digraph  $D^*$  with the vertex set  $V(D)$  and the arc set

$$\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \{(v, x_C) \mid v \in C\}.$$

Take an arc in  $D^*$ . Then it is in the form of  $(v, x_C)$  for some  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $v \in C$ . By the hypothesis,  $v$  is an end vertex of an edge occupied by  $C$ . Then  $v$  and the other end of that edge have a common out-neighbor  $x$  in  $D$ . Since  $\ell$  is an acyclic labeling of  $D$ ,  $\ell(x) < \ell(v)$ . By the choice of  $x_C$ ,  $\ell(x_C) \leq \ell(x)$ , so  $\ell(x_C) < \ell(v)$ . Thus  $\ell$  is still an acyclic labeling of  $D^*$  and so  $D^*$  is acyclic. Since  $x_C$  is a common out-neighbor of the end vertices of an edge occupied by  $C$  in  $D$ ,  $x_C \neq x_{C'}$  if  $C \neq C'$ . Hence the competition graph of  $D^*$  is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices. By the definition of  $D^*$ , it is obvious that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies (ii) of Definition 2.1 and the theorem statement holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Every diamond-free graph with at least one edge has an effective competition cover.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a diamond-free graph with at least one edge, then each edge of  $G$  is occupied by a maximal clique. Hence the corollary immediately follows from Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph having no isolated vertices but at least one edge and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimum edge clique cover of  $G$  consisting of maximal cliques. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a subset  $\mathcal{F}$  having  $\theta_e(G) - k(G) + 1$  elements such that the subgraph  $H$  induced by the edges covered by  $\mathcal{F}$  has at least  $\theta_e(G) - k(G)$  simplicial vertices. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is an effective competition cover of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* We label the elements in  $\mathcal{F}$  as  $C_{k(G)}, C_{k(G)+1}, \dots, C_{\theta_e(G)}$  so that the number of simplicial vertices in  $H$  belonging to  $C_j$  is greater than or equal to that of simplicial vertices in  $H$  belonging to  $C_i$  if  $i \geq j$ . Then we label the elements in  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{F}$  as  $C_1, \dots, C_{k(G)-1}$ . We construct a digraph with vertex set  $V(G)$  with added isolated vertices  $a_1, \dots, a_{k(G)}$  as follows. For each  $i = 1, \dots, k(G)$ , we add arcs from every vertex in  $C_i$  to  $a_i$ . If  $\theta_e(G) = k(G)$ , then  $D$  is obviously a digraph accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore we may assume  $\theta_e(G) > k(G)$ . Then, by the way in which the cliques in  $\mathcal{F}$  are labeled,  $C_{k(G)}$  has at least one simplicial vertex in  $H$ . We take one of them and add arcs from every vertex in  $C_{k(G)+1}$  to that vertex. Again, we may take a simplicial vertex in  $H$  from  $C_{k(G)} \cup C_{k(G)+1}$  that is distinct from the one just taken. Then we add arcs from every vertex in  $C_{k(G)+2}$  to that vertex. We may continue in this way until we add arcs from every vertex in  $C_{\theta_e(G)}$  to a simplicial vertex in  $H$  that belongs to  $\bigcup_{i=k(G)}^{\theta_e(G)-1} C_i$ . By construction, each arcs goes from a vertex in  $C_i$  to either a vertex in  $C_j$  for  $i > j$  or a newly added isolated vertex. Therefore  $D$  is acyclic. It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an effective competition cover of  $G$ .  $\square$

### 3 Relationship between the competition number and the primary predator index of a graph

In this section, we give a new lower bound for the competition number of a graph in terms of its primary predator index. In addition, we show that the graphs having effective competition covers have the lower bound as their competition numbers.

**Proposition 3.1.** *For any graph  $G$  with at least two vertices,  $p(G) \geq 2$*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph with at least two vertices. Then  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices is the competition graph of some acyclic digraph with  $n$  vertices for some positive integer  $n \geq 2$ . Among such digraphs, we take a digraph  $D$  with arcs as few as possible. Let  $\ell$  be an acyclic labeling of  $D$ . We denote by  $v_i$  the vertex  $v$  satisfying  $\ell(v) = i$ . By definition of acyclic labeling,  $v_n$  is of in-degree 0. Suppose that the in-degree of  $v_{n-1}$  is nonzero. By definition of acyclic labeling,  $v_n$  is the only in-neighbor of  $v_{n-1}$ , which implies that  $v_{n-1}$  does not induce any edge in  $G$  as a common out-neighbor of two vertices. Therefore we may delete the arc  $(v_n, v_{n-1})$  to obtain an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is still  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices, which contradicts the choice of  $D$ . Thus  $v_{n-1}$  is of in-degree 0. Hence  $p(G) \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with an effective competition cover  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the cliques in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be labeled as  $C_1, \dots, C_{\theta_e(G)}$  so that  $p(G) \geq \left| \bigcup_{i=k}^{\theta_e(G)} C_i \right| - \theta_e(G) + k$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq \theta_e(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a digraph accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ . We consider the subdigraph  $D'$  of  $D$  induced by the sinks of the cliques belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $D$ . Obviously  $D'$  is acyclic, so we may label the vertices of  $D'$  as  $w_1, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}$  so that

$$(w_j, w_i) \text{ is an arc only if } i < j. \quad (\star)$$

Now we label the cliques in  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $C_1, \dots, C_{\theta_e(G)}$  so that  $w_i$  is the sink of  $C_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, \theta_e(G)$ .

Fix  $1 \leq k \leq \theta_e(G)$  and suppose that  $\bigcup_{i=k}^{\theta_e(G)} C_i \setminus \{w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}\}$  contains a sink  $w_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, \theta_e(G)\}$ . Then  $j \leq k$  and  $w_j \in C_i$  for some  $i \in \{k, k+1, \dots, \theta_e(G)\}$ . Since  $w_i$  is the sink of  $C_i$  and  $w_j \in C_i$ , there is an arc from  $w_j$  to  $w_i$  and so, by  $(\star)$ ,  $i < j$  and we reach a contradiction. Therefore  $\bigcup_{i=k}^{\theta_e(G)} C_i \setminus \{w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}\}$  does not contain a sink, that is, every vertex in  $\bigcup_{i=k}^{\theta_e(G)} C_i \setminus \{w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}\}$  has in-degree 0. By the definition of  $p(G)$ ,

$$p(G) \geq \left| \bigcup_{i=k}^{\theta_e(G)} C_i \setminus \{w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}\} \right| \geq \left| \bigcup_{i=k}^{\theta_e(G)} C_i \right| - \theta_e(G) + k.$$

and the proposition follows.  $\square$

Opsut [7] showed that, for any graph  $G$ ,  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + 2$ . We generalize this inequality. To do so, we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with at least one edge and  $D$  be an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices. Then  $D$  has at least  $\theta_e(G)$  vertices of in-degree nonzero.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with  $k(G)$  isolated vertices and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimum edge clique cover of  $G$  consisting of maximal cliques  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{\theta_e(G)}$ . We define

$$A_i := \{v \in V(D) \mid v \text{ is a common out-neighbor of two vertices in } C_i\}$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, \theta_e(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is an edge clique cover of  $G$ ,  $G[C_i]$  contains at least one edge whose two end vertices, therefore, has a common out-neighbor in  $D$  and so  $A_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, \theta_e(G)$ .

Let  $B = (X, Y)$  be a bipartite graph, where  $X = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{\theta_e(G)}\}$  and  $Y = V(D)$ , such that  $\{A_i, v\}$  is an edge of  $B$  if and only if  $v \in A_i$ . By definition,  $A_i = N_B(A_i)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, \theta_e(G)$ . To show that  $B$  satisfies Hall's condition for Hall's marriage theorem, suppose, to the contrary, that there exists  $S \subset X$  such that  $|S| > |N_B(S)|$ . We denote  $S = \{A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k}\}$  and  $N_B(S) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l\}$ . Then  $k > l$  by our assumption.

Clearly, the in-neighborhood of each vertex of  $N_B(S)$  in  $D$  forms a clique in  $G$ . To show that  $N^-(z_1), N^-(z_2), \dots, N^-(z_l)$  cover all the edges covered by  $C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_k}$ , take an edge  $e$  of  $G$  covered by  $C_{i_j}$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then there exists a vertex  $z \in A_{i_j}$  such that  $z$  is a common out-neighbor of the end vertices of  $e$ . Therefore  $e$  is covered by  $N^-(z)$ . Since  $A_{i_j} \in X$ ,  $A_{i_j} = N_B(A_{i_j})$ . Since  $A_{i_j} \in S$ ,  $N_B(A_{i_j}) \subset N_B(S)$ . Therefore  $z \in N_B(S)$  since  $z \in A_{i_j}$ . Thus  $\{N^-(z_1), N^-(z_2), \dots, N^-(z_l)\}$  is a family of cliques of  $G$  covering all the edges covered by  $\{C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_k}\}$ .

Since  $k > l$ , we replace  $C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_k}$  with  $N^-(z_1), N^-(z_2), \dots, N^-(z_l)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  to obtain a new edge clique cover of  $G$  consisting of fewer cliques than  $\mathcal{C}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $B$  satisfies Hall's condition and so, by Hall's marriage theorem,  $B$  has a matching  $M = \{\{A_i, w_i\} \mid i = 1, \dots, \theta_e(G)\}$  that saturates  $X$ . By the definition of  $A_i$ ,  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}$  are vertices of in-degree at least two. Since each of  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}$  is saturated by the matching  $M$ ,  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\theta_e(G)}$  are all distinct and the theorem statement follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *For any graph  $G$ ,  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is an edgeless graph, then obviously  $k(G) = 0$ ,  $\theta_e(G) = 0$ ,  $p(G) = |V(G)|$ , and the inequality holds. Let  $G$  be a graph with at least one edge and  $D$  be a digraph by which  $p(G)$  is attained. By definition,

$$|V(D)| = |V(G)| + k(G). \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.3, there are at least  $\theta_e(G)$  vertices of in-degree nonzero in  $D$ . Since any vertex in  $D$  has in-degree 0 or in-degree nonzero,

$$|V(D)| \geq p(G) + \theta_e(G). \quad (3)$$

Then (2) and (3) yield the desired inequality.  $\square$

Opsut's inequality for competition numbers immediately follows from Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.4.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with an effective competition cover  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $p(G)$  is attained by any digraph accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore,*

$$|V(D)| = p(G) + \theta_e(G)$$

*for any digraph  $D$  accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a digraph accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ . By definition,  $D$  has exactly  $\theta_e(G)$  vertices of in-degree nonzero. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3,  $D$  has the smallest number of vertices of in-degree nonzero. This implies that  $D$  has the largest number of vertices of in-degree 0. By the definition of  $p(G)$ ,  $p(G)$  is attained by  $D$ . Now, since the number of vertices of in-degree nonzero is  $\theta_e(G)$  and the number of vertices of in-degree 0 is  $p(G)$  in  $D$ , we have  $|V(D)| = p(G) + \theta_e(G)$ .  $\square$

In the following, we present some sufficient conditions for a graph to make the inequality given in Theorem 3.4 sharp.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with an effective competition cover  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $k(G) = \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a digraph accompanying  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 3.5,

$$|V(D)| = p(G) + \theta_e(G).$$

By the definition of competition number,

$$|V(D)| = |V(G)| + k(G).$$

Then the above equalities yield the desired equality.  $\square$

Now we present an example showing how Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.6 can be applied to obtain a lower bound for the competition number of a graph having an effective competition cover. The graph  $G$  given in Figure 2 is a diamond-free graph with at least one edge, so it has an effective competition cover by Corollary 2.5. Note that  $\theta_e(G) = 9$  and the union of any three maximal cliques of  $G$  contains at least five vertices. By applying Theorem 3.2 for  $k = 7$ , we have  $p(G) \geq 3$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.6,




Figure 2: A graph  $G$  with  $p(G) \geq 3$

$k(G) = \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G) \geq 3$ . This bound is sharper than Opsut's bound in [7] that  $k(G) \geq \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + 2 = 2$ . In fact, we can show  $k(G) \leq 3$  by constructing an acyclic digraph whose competition graph is  $G$  together with three isolated vertices, and therefore  $k(G) = 3$ .

The following proposition guarantees that the equality  $k(G) = \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$  given in Proposition 3.6 is still true under the following condition.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with at least one edge and  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of maximal cliques of  $G$ . Suppose that every cliques in  $\mathcal{C}$  has an edge that is occupied by it. Then  $k(G) = \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of maximal cliques of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is an edge clique cover of  $G$ . Moreover, by the hypothesis that every cliques in  $\mathcal{C}$  has an edge that is occupied by it, it is a minimum edge clique cover.

Let  $D$  be a digraph with the most arcs among which acyclic digraphs by which  $p(G)$  are attained. We show that the number  $r$  of vertices with at least one in-neighbor in  $D$  equals  $\theta_e(G)$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $r \geq \theta_e(G)$ . To reach a contradiction, we assume  $r > \theta_e(G)$ . Let  $w_1, \dots, w_r$  be the vertices with at least one in-neighbor in  $D$ . By the choice of  $D$ ,  $w_i$  has at least two in-neighbors in  $D$ , so  $N_D^-(w_i)$  forms a clique of size at least two for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $C_i$  be a maximal clique including  $N_D^-(w_i)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $C_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $|\mathcal{C}| = \theta_e(G)$  and  $r > \theta_e(G)$ ,  $C_p = C_q$  for some distinct  $p, q \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  by the Pigeonhole principle. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $w_p$  has a lower label than  $w_q$  in an acyclic labeling of  $D$ . Now we detour the arcs from  $N_D^-(w_q)$  to  $w_p$  so that their heads change from  $w_q$  to  $w_p$ . In this way, we obtain a new acyclic digraph  $D^*$ . Since  $N_D^-(w_p)$  and  $N_D^-(w_q)$  are included in the same clique in  $G$ ,  $C(D^*) = C(D)$ . However,  $w_q$  is a vertex of in-degree 0 in  $D^*$ , so the number of vertices of in-degree 0 in  $D^*$  is greater than that of vertices of in-degree 0 in  $D$ , which contradicts the choice of  $D$ . Therefore  $r = \theta_e(G)$ .  $\square$

By using Proposition 3.6, we may represent the competition number of a certain plane graph in terms of primary predator index, the number of faces, and the number of maximal cliques. Let  $G$  be a plane graph. Kuratowski's theorem tells us that  $G$  contains no  $K_5$  as

a subgraph, so any maximal cliques in  $G$  consists of at most four vertices. For  $i = 2, 3, 4$ , we denote by  $c_i(G)$  the number of maximal cliques of size  $i$  in  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be a connected diamond-free plane graph. Then*

$$k(G) = f(G) + p(G) - 2c_3(G) - 5c_4(G) - 2 \quad (4)$$

where  $f(G)$  denotes the number of faces in  $G$ .

*Proof.* If  $G$  has only one vertex, then  $k(G) = 0$ ,  $f(G) = 1$ ,  $p(G) = 1$ ,  $c_3(G) = c_4(G) = 0$  and so (4) holds.

Suppose that  $G$  has at least two vertices. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a minimum edge clique cover of  $G$  consisting of maximal cliques. Since  $G$  is diamond-free, each edge of  $G$  belongs to exactly one maximal clique. Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of all the maximal cliques of  $G$ . On the other hand, since  $G$  is connected and planar, any maximal clique of  $G$  has size 2 or 3 or 4. Thus

$$\theta_e(G) = c_2(G) + c_3(G) + c_4(G). \quad (5)$$

Since  $G$  is diamond-free, any maximal cliques of  $G$  are edge-disjoint. Therefore  $|E(G)| = \binom{2}{2}c_2(G) + \binom{3}{2}c_3(G) + \binom{4}{2}c_4(G)$  and so  $c_2(G) = |E(G)| - 3c_3(G) - 6c_4(G)$ . Substituting this into (5), we have

$$\theta_e(G) = |E(G)| - 2c_3(G) - 5c_4(G). \quad (6)$$

Since  $G$  is a diamond-free graph having at least one edge, it has an effective competition cover by Corollary 2.5 and so  $k(G) = \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$  by Proposition 3.6. This equality together with (6) give  $k(G) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| - 2c_3(G) - 5c_4(G) + p(G)$ . Since  $G$  is connected and planar, by Euler's formula, (4) follows.  $\square$

## 4 Concluding remarks

We conjecture that every graph  $G$  satisfies the equality  $k(G) = \theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + p(G)$ . In addition, we have a strong belief that every graph with at least one edge has an effective competition cover, which implies the above conjecture by Proposition 3.6.

## References

- [1] H. H. Cho and S. -R. Kim: The competition number of a graph having exactly one hole, *Discrete Mathematics* **303** (2005) 32–41.
- [2] J. E. Cohen: Interval graphs and food webs: a finding and a problem, *RAND Corporation Document 17696-PR*, Santa Monica, California, 1968.
- [3] S. -R. Kim: Graphs with one hole and competition number one, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** (2005) 1251–1264.

- [4] S. -R. Kim, Jung Yeon Lee, and Yoshio Sano: The competition number of a graph whose holes do not overlap much, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **158** (2010) 1456–1460.
- [5] B. -J. Li and G. J. Chang: The competition number of a graph with exactly  $h$  holes, all of which are independent, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **157** (2009) 1337–1341.
- [6] B. D. McKay, P. Schmitz, and P. Schmitz: Graphs with one hole and competition number one, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **28** (2014) 77–91.
- [7] R. J. Opsut: On the computation of the competition number of a graph, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* **3**(4) (1982) 420–428.
- [8] B. Park, S. -R. Kim, Yoshio Sano: The competition numbers of complete multipartite graphs and mutually orthogonal Latin squares, *Discrete Mathematics* **309** (2009) 6464–6469.
- [9] A. Raychaudhuri and F. S. Roberts: Generalized competition graphs and their applications, *Methods Oper. Res.*, **49** (1985) 295–311.
- [10] F. S. Roberts: Food webs, competition graphs, and the boxicity of ecological phase space, in: Y. Alavi, D. Lick (Eds.), *Theory and Applications of Graphs*, Springer, New York, 1978, pp. 477–490.
- [11] F. S. Roberts: A characterization of competition graphs of arbitrary digraphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **6** (1983) 323–326.
- [12] F. S. Roberts: Competition graphs and phylogeny graphs, in L. Lovasz (ed.), *Graph Theory and Combinatorial Biology*, Bolyai Math. Stud. **7**, J. Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest (1999) 333–362.
- [13] Yoshio Sano: A generalization of Opsut's lower bounds for the competition number of a graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **29** (2013) 1543–1547.